



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,521	10/26/2001	James C. Phillips	D8505-00002	2576	
8933	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
	ORRIS, LLP	HARRELL, ROBERT B			
IP DEPARTMENT ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396			2142		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/001,521	PHILLIPS, JAMES C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Robert B. Harrell	2142			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	or Reply		·			
THE - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2001.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
ت (۵	are subject to restriction and/c	or olection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
			7.03.01.01.701.11.7.0 102.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	* -				
	application from the International Burea	•	Q			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Ama di	W-2					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152) <i>Office Action</i> .			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,521

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-41 are presented for examination.

- 2. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims. Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The scope of meaning of the following claim language is not clear:
- a) "the exchange"—claims 1 and 18 (line 1);
- b) "the basis"—claims 1 and 18 (line 10);
- c) "the creation"—claims 10 and 27 (line 1);
- d) "the underlying"—claims 11 and 28 (line 2);
- e) "the group"—claims 12 and 29 (line 2);
- f) "the capture"—claims and 25 (line 1).
- 5. As to 4 (a-f) above, these are but a few examples of numerous cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking and not an exhausting recital. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed above which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single proper antecedent bases also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, these are but a few examples where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s). Moreover, multiple introduction of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent bases for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking can be viewed as nonresponsive. Nonetheless, should a response yield all claims allowable short a few cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking within the claims, a preemptive authorization to enter an examiner's amendment to the record to correct such would accelerate a notice of allowance over a final rejection. Such could be added at the end of an applicant's response with the following statement: "Examiner is hereby authorized, without the need of further contact by examiner, to enter an Examiner's Amendment to correct any cases where antecedent bases are lacking." if the applicant so elects. This does not diminish the applicant's requirement to correct all such cases

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/001,521

Art Unit: 2142

not so listed in the example few given above nor prohibit any amendments after a notice of allowance by the applicant.

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs nof 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;
- 7. Claim 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Moore et al. (United States Patent Number: US 6,253,244 B1).
- 8. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access to IFW through Public PAIR (as found on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.
- 9. Per claim 1, Moore taught a method (e.g., see Title) for conducting the exchange of data with a terminal-based application program (e.g., see Abstract) comprising the steps of:
- (a) mapping a plurality of available states within a terminal data stream of the terminal-based application program to respective discrete state definitions within a finite state machine (e.g., see figure 3, col. 3 (lines 19-22), and without any interpretation or implied limitations to the applied reference, col. 8 (lines 25-30)) including:
- (1) interpreting any element, terminal command, data item, or sequence of terminal commands and data items within the terminal data stream as a discrete state having a respective one of the state definitions (e.g., this claim invokes an "or" condition thus see Abstract, figures, col. 3 (lines 45-65), and col. 5 (line 1) to col. 6 (line 9)), and
- (2) using an object model containing a set of interfaces, said interfaces being utilized as the basis for the state definitions (e.g., see col. 4 (lines 20-67)), and
- (b) defining a plurality of state transition rules which are utilized to manipulate the state definitions within the finite state machine (e.g., see Abstract and col. 3 (line 33-et seq.)).

Art Unit: 2142

- 10. Per claims 2 and 3, see col. 4 (lines 20-67) and figures 1 and 2.
- 11. Per claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, see Abstract.
- 12. Per claims 10 and 11, see col. 4 (lines 10-51 and also line 35 of col. 4).
- 13. Per claims 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, see Abstract and col. 5 (line 1-et seq.).
- 14. Per claims 18-41, these claims do not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and are thus rejected for the same reasons given above. However, the system is automated and allows for point and click per figure 3 and figure 4 and also col. 4 (line 56) to col. 6 (line 9) as it is window driven.
- 15. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B. Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for all papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 2142